# Report No. CEF 20001 A

# **London Borough of Bromley**

### **PART ONE - PUBLIC**

Decision Maker: CHILDREN, EDUCATION AND FAMILIES PDS COMMITTEE

Date: 10<sup>th</sup> March 2020

**Decision Type:** Non-Urgent Non-Executive Non-Key

Title: Contracts Register and Contracts Database Report

Contact Officer: Colin Lusted, Head of Complex & Long Term Commissioning – Education,

Care & Health Services. Email: Colin.lusted@bromley.gov.uk

**Chief Officer:** Janet Bailey, Interim Director of Children's Services.

Ward: All Wards

# 1. Reason for report

- 1.1 This report presents an extract from January 2020's Contracts Register for detailed scrutiny by PDS Committee all PDS committees will receive a similar report each contract reporting cycle, based on data as at 13<sup>th</sup> December 2019 and presented to E & RC PDS on 8<sup>th</sup> January 2020.
- 1.2 The Contracts Register contained in 'Part 2' of this agenda includes a commentary on each contract to inform Members of any issues or developments.

#### 2. RECOMMENDATIONS

# That the Children, Education and Families PDS Committee:

- **2.1** Reviews and comments on the Contracts Register as at 13<sup>th</sup> December 2019.
- **2.2** Note that in Part 2 of this agenda, the Contracts Register contains additional, potentially commercially sensitive, information in its commentary.

# Impact on Vulnerable Adults and Children

Summary of Impact: The appended Contracts Register covers services which may be universal
or targeted. Addressing the impact of service provision on vulnerable adults and children is a
matter for the relevant procurement strategies, contracts award and monitoring reports, and
service delivery rather than this report.

# Corporate Policy

- Policy Status: Existing Policy
- 2. BBB Priority: Excellent Council

# Financial

- 1. Cost of proposal: N/A
- 2. Ongoing costs: N/A
- 3. Budget head/performance centre: Children, Education and Families
- 4. Total current budget for this head: Controllable Budget £44.758M
- 5. Source of funding: Existing Relevant Budget 2019/20

### Personnel

- 1. Number of staff (current and additional): N/A
- 2. If from existing staff resources, number of staff hours: N/A

### Legal

- 1. Legal Requirement: Statutory Requirement
- 2. Call-in: Not Applicable

### **Procurement**

1. Summary of Procurement Implications: Improves the Council's approach to contract management

### Customer Impact

1. Estimated number of users/beneficiaries (current and projected): N/A

## Ward Councillor Views

- 1. Have Ward Councillors been asked for comments? N/A
- 2. Summary of Ward Councillors comments: N/A

### 3. COMMENTARY

# **Contracts Register Background**

- 3.1 The Contracts Database is fully utilised by all Contract Managers across the Council as part of their Contract Management responsibilities, which includes updating the information recorded on the database. The Register is generated from the Contracts Database which is administered by the Commissioning & Procurement Directorate and populated by the relevant service managers (Contract Owners) and approved by their managers (Contract Approvers).
- 3.2 As a Commissioning Council, this information is vital to facilitate a full understanding of the Council's procurement activity and the Contracts Register is a key tool used by Contract Managers as part of their daily contract responsibilities. The Contract Registers are reviewed by the Procurement Board, Chief Officers, Corporate Leadership Team, and E & RC PDS Committee as appropriate
- 3.3 The Contracts Register is produced four times a year for members—though the CDB itself is always 'live'.
- 3.4 Each PDS committee is expected to undertake detailed scrutiny of its contracts including scrutinising suppliers and hold the Portfolio Holder to account on service quality and procurement arrangements.

# **Contract Register Summary**

3.5 The Council has 214 active contracts covering all portfolios as of 13<sup>th</sup> December 2019 for the January 2020 reporting cycle as set out in Appendix 1.

3.6

### Children, Education and Families

Item	Category	Jul-19	Oct-19	Jan-20
Total Contracts	£50k+	36	35	37
Concern Flag	Concern Flag	0	0	0
	Red	4	2	2
Diale In day	Amber	8	4	7
Risk Index	Yellow	13	15	15
	Green	11	14	13
Total		36	35	37
Procurement Status	Red	16	8	12
	Amber	5	6	6
	Yellow	5	8	4
	Green	10	10	13
Total		36	32	35

<sup>\*</sup>Please note two imminent contracts due to start in 2020

3.7 No contracts have been flagged as a concern.

### 4. IMPACT ON VULNERABLE ADULTS & CHILDREN

4.1 The Corporate Contracts Register covers all Council services: both those used universally by residents and those specifically directed towards vulnerable adults and children. Addressing the impact of service provision on the vulnerable is a matter for the relevant procurement strategies, contracts, and delivery of specific services rather than this summary register.

### 5. POLICY IMPLICATIONS

5.1 The Council's renewed ambition is set out in the 2016-18 update to <u>Building a Better Bromley</u> and the Contracts Database (and Contract Registers) help in delivering the aims (especially in delivering the 'Excellent Council' aim). For an 'Excellent Council', this activity specifically helps by 'ensuring good contract management to ensure value-for-money and quality services'.

### 6. PROCUREMENT IMPLICATIONS

6.1 Most of the Council's (£50k plus) procurement spend is now captured by the Contracts Database. The database will help in ensuring that procurement activity is undertaken in a timely manner, that Contract Procedure Rules are followed and that Members are able to scrutinise procurement activity in a regular and systematic manner.

### 7. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

7.1 The Contracts Database and Contract Registers are not primarily financial tools – the Council has other systems and reports for this purpose such as the Budget Monitoring reports.

However, the CDB and Registers do contain financial information both in terms of contract dates and values and also budgets and spend for the current year.

### 8. PERSONNEL IMPLICATIONS

8.1 There are no direct personnel implications but the Contracts Database is useful in identifying those officers directly involved in managing the Council's contracts.

### 9. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

- 9.1 There are no direct legal implications but the Contracts Database does identify those contracts which have a statutory basis and also those laws which should be complied with in delivering the contracted services.
- 9.2 A list of the Council's active contracts may be found on <u>Bromley.gov.uk</u> to aid transparency (this data is updated after each Contracts Sub-Committee meeting).

Non-Applicable	None
Sections:	
Background	<ul> <li>Appendix 1 – Key Data (All Portfolios)</li> </ul>
Documents:	Appendix 2 - Contracts Database Background
(Access via Contact	information
Officer)	<ul> <li>Appendix 3 – Contracts Database Extract PART 1</li> </ul>

# **Appendix 1** Key Data (All Portfolios)

Item	Category	July 2019	October 2019	January 2020
Contracts (>£50k TCV)	All Portfolios	205	207	214
Flagged as a concern	All Portfolios	4	2	1
Capital Contracts	All Portfolios	9	5	3
Portfolio	Children, Education and Families	36	35	37
	Adult Care and Health	82	72	73
	Public Protection and Enforcement	5	5	5
	Executive, Resources and Contracts	56	55	55
	Environment and Community Services	14	15	17
	Renewal and Recreation and Housing	12	25	27
Total		205	207	214
	Red	10	12	13
Risk Index	Amber	74	72	74
	Yellow	82	83	84
	Green	39	40	43
Total		205	207	214
Procurement Status	Red	55	50	64
	Amber	23	48	40
	Yellow	45	24	19
	Green	82	85	91
Total		205	207	214
Procurement Status	Imminent	0	5	5
Total		0	5	5

# **Appendix 2 - Contracts Register Key and Background Information**

# **Contract Register Key**

1.1 A key to understanding the Corporate Contracts Register is set out in the table below.

Daviston	
Register	Explanation
Category Risk Index	Colour-ranking system reflecting eight automatically scored and weighted criteria providing a score (out of 100) / colour reflecting the contract's intrinsic risk
Contract ID	Unique reference used in contract authorisations
Owner	Manager/commissioner with day-to-day budgetary / service provision responsibility
Approver	Contract Owner's manager, responsible for approving data quality
Contract Title	Commonly used or formal title of service / contract
Supplier	Main contractor or supplier responsible for service provision
Portfolio	Relevant Portfolio for receiving procurement strategy, contract award, contract
	monitoring and budget monitoring reports
<b>Total Contract</b>	The contract's value from commencement to expiry of formally approved period
Value	(excludes any extensions yet to be formally approved)
Original Annual	Value of the contract its first year (which may be difference from the annual value
Value	in subsequent years, due to start-up costs etc.)
Budget	Approved budget for the current financial year. May be blank due to: finances being reported against another contract; costs being grant-funded, complexity in the
	finance records e.g. capital (also applies to Projection)
Projection	Expected contract spend by the end of the current financial year
Procurement	Automatic ranking system based on contract value and proximity to expiry. This is
Status	designed to alert Contract Owners to take procurement action in a timely manner.
	Red ragging simply means the contract is nearing expiry and is not an implied
01 1 0 F 1	criticism (indeed, all contracts will ultimately be ragged 'red').
Start & End Dates	Approved contract start date and end date (excluding any extension which has yet
Months duration	to be authorised) Contract term in months
Attention 2	
Attention	Red flag indicates that there are potential issues, or that the timescales are tight and it requires close monitoring. (also see C&P Commentary in Part 2)
Commentary	Contract Owners provide a comment – especially where the Risk Index or
,	Procurement Status is ragged red or amber.
	Commissioning & Procurement Directorate may add an additional comment for
	Members' consideration
	The Commentary only appears in the 'Part 2' Contracts Register
Capital	Most of the Council's contracts are revenue-funded. Capital-funded contracts are
	separately identified (and listed at the foot of the Contracts Register) because
	different reporting / accounting rules apply

# **Contract Register Order**

1.2 The Contracts Register is output in Risk Index order. It is then ordered by Procurement Status, Portfolio, and finally Contract Value. Capital contracts appear at the foot of the Register and 'contracts of concern' (to Commissioning & Procurement Directorate) are flagged at the top.

# Risk Index

1.3 The Risk Index is designed to focus attention on contracts presenting the most significant risks to the Council. Risk needs to be controlled to an acceptable level (our risk appetite) rather than entirely eliminated and so the issue is how best to assess and mitigate contract risk. Contract risk is assessed (in the CDB) according to eight separate factors and scored and weighted to produce a Risk Index figure (out of 100). These scores are ragged to provide a visual reference.



#### **Procurement Status**

1.4 A contract's Procurement Status is a combination of the Total Contract Value (X axis) and number of months to expiry (Y axis). The table below is used to assign a ragging colour. Contracts ragged red, amber or yellow require action – which should be set out in the Commentary. Red ragging simply means the contract is nearing expiry and it is not an implied criticism (indeed, all contracts will ultimately be ragged 'red').

